# BOSTON EDISON COMPANY CAMBRIDGE ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY COMMONWEALTH ELECTRIC COMPANY NSTAR GAS COMPANY

1	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Direct Testimony of John J. Spanos
14	
15	Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-1
16	
17	D.T.E. 05-85

### BOSTON EDISON COMPANY CAMBRIDGE ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY COMMONWEALTH ELECTRIC COMPANY NSTAR GAS COMPANY

Direct Testimony of John J. Spanos

Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-1

D.T.E. 05-85

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	John J. Spanos, 207 Senate Avenue, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania, 17011.
4	Q.	BY WHOM AND IN WHAT CAPACITY ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
5	A.	Gannett Fleming, Inc. (Gannett Fleming). I am Vice President of the
6		Valuation and Rate Division.
7	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND BUSINESS
8		EXPERIENCE.
9	A.	I have Bachelor of Science degrees in Industrial Management and
10		Mathematics from Carnegie-Mellon University and a Master of Business
11		Administration from York College of Pennsylvania.
12		I have been associated with the firm since college graduation in
13		1986. The Valuation and Rate Division of Gannett Fleming provides
14		depreciation consulting services to utility companies in the United States
15		and Canada. As Vice President of Gannett Fleming's Valuation and Rate
16		Division, I am responsible for conducting depreciation, valuation and
17		original cost studies, determining service life and salvage estimates,
18		conducting field reviews, presenting recommended depreciation rates to

1 clients, and supporting such rates before state and federal regulatory 2 agencies.

#### Q. DO YOU BELONG TO ANY PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES?

3

7

8

4 A. Yes. I am a member of the Society of Depreciation Professionals and the
5 American Gas Association/Edison Electric Institute Industry Accounting
6 Committee.

#### Q. DO YOU HOLD ANY SPECIAL CERTIFICATION AS A DEPRECIATION EXPERT?

9 A. Yes. The Society of Depreciation Professionals has established national standards for depreciation professionals. The Society administers an examination to become certified in this field. I passed the certification exam in September 1997, and was recertified in August 2003.

#### 13 Q. PLEASE OUTLINE YOUR EXPERIENCE IN THE FIELD OF 14 DEPRECIATION.

In June, 1986, I was employed by Gannett Fleming Valuation and Rate 15 A. 16 Consultants, Inc. as a Depreciation Analyst. During the period from June, 17 1986 through December, 1995, I assisted in the preparation of numerous 18 depreciation and original cost studies for utility companies in various 19 industries. I helped perform depreciation studies for the following 20 telephone companies: United Telephone of Pennsylvania, 21 Telephone of New Jersey and Anchorage Telephone Utility. I helped

perform depreciation studies for the following companies in the railroad industry: Union Pacific Railroad, Burlington Northern Railroad and Wisconsin Central Transportation Corporation.

I assisted in the preparation of depreciation studies for the following organizations in the electric industry: Chugach Electric Association, The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E), The Union Light, Heat and Power Company (ULH&P), Northwest Territories Power Corporation and the City of Calgary - Electric System.

I assisted in the preparation of depreciation studies for the following pipeline companies: TransCanada Pipelines Limited, Trans Mountain Pipe Line Company Ltd., Interprovincial Pipe Line Inc., Nova Gas Transmission Limited and Lakehead Pipeline Company.

I assisted in the preparation of depreciation studies for the following gas companies: Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania, Columbia Gas of Maryland, The Peoples Natural Gas Company, T. W. Phillips Gas & Oil Company, CG&E, ULH&P, Lawrenceburg Gas Company and Penn Fuel Gas, Inc.

I assisted in the preparation of depreciation studies for the following water companies: Indiana-American Water Company, Consumers Pennsylvania Water Company and The York Water Company; and

depreciation and original cost studies for Philadelphia Suburban Water Company and Pennsylvania-American Water Company.

In each of the above studies, I assembled and analyzed historical and simulated data, performed field reviews, developed preliminary estimates of service life and net salvage, calculated annual depreciation, and prepared reports for submission to state Public Utility Commissions or federal regulatory agencies. I performed these studies under the general direction of William M. Stout, P.E.

In January, 1996, I was assigned to the position of Supervisor of Depreciation Studies. In July, 1999, I was promoted to the position of Manager, Depreciation and Valuation Studies. In December, 2000, I was promoted to my present position as Vice President of Gannett Fleming Valuation and Rate Consultants, Inc., now the Valuation and Rate Division of Gannett Fleming, Inc. I am responsible for conducting depreciation, valuation and original cost studies, including the preparation of final exhibits and responses to data requests for submission to the appropriate regulatory bodies.

Since January 1996, I have conducted depreciation studies similar to those previously listed including assignments for Hampton Water Works Company, Omaha Public Power District, Enbridge Pipe Line Company, Inc., Columbia Gas of Virginia, Inc., Virginia Natural Gas Company,

National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation - New York and Pennsylvania Divisions, The City of Bethlehem - Bureau of Water, The City of Coatesville Authority, The City of Lancaster - Bureau of Water, Peoples Energy Corporation, The York Water Company, Public Service Company of Colorado, Reliant Energy-HLP, Massachusetts-American Water Company, St. Louis County Water Company, Missouri-American Water Company, Chugach Electric Association, Alliant Energy, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company, Nevada Power Company, Dominion Virginia Power, NUI-Virginia Gas Companies, PSI Energy, NUI - Elizabethtown Gas Company, Cinergy Corporation - CG&E, Cinergy Corporation -ULH&P, Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Idaho Power Company, El Paso Electric Company, Centennial Pipeline Company, CenterPoint Energy-Arkansas, CenterPoint Energy – Oklahoma, CenterPoint Energy – Entex, CenterPoint Energy - Louisiana, NSTAR - Boston Edison Company South Jersey Gas Company, (Transmission), Bonneville Administration, Enbridge Consumers Gas, Duquesne Light Company, PPL Gas Services, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Westar Energy, Inc., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Sierra Pacific Gas Company, Duke Energy, SCANA Services, Inc., LaClede Gas Company, EPCOR Distribution, Inc. and B. C. Gas Utility, Ltd. My additional duties include determining final life and salvage estimates, conducting field reviews,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- presenting recommended depreciation rates to management for its consideration and supporting such rates before regulatory bodies.
- Q. HAVE YOU SUBMITTED TESTIMONY TO ANY STATE UTILITY

  COMMISSIONS ON THE SUBJECT OF UTILITY PLANT

  DEPRECIATION?
- 6 A. I have submitted testimony to the Pennsylvania Public Utility 7 Commission, the Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission, 8 the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Public Utilities Board of New 9 Jersey, The Missouri Public Service Commission, the Massachusetts 10 Department of Telecommunications and Energy (the "Department"), The 11 Alberta Energy & Utility Board, the Nevada Public Utility Commission, the 12 Public Utility Commission, Louisiana the Public Service 13 Commission, the Oklahoma Corporate Commission, Arkansas Public 14 Service Commission, State Corporation Commission of the State of 15 Kansas, The Public Service Commission of South Carolina, Railroad 16 Commission of Texas - Gas Services Division, the New York Public 17 Service Commission, Illinois Commerce Commission, and the Indiana 18 Utility Regulatory Commission.
- 19 Q. HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY ADDITIONAL EDUCATION RELATING TO
  20 UTILITY PLANT DEPRECIATION?

A. Yes. I have completed the following courses conducted by Depreciation
Programs, Inc.: "Techniques of Life Analysis," "Techniques of Salvage and
Depreciation Analysis," "Forecasting Life and Salvage," "Modeling and Life
Analysis Using Simulation" and "Managing a Depreciation Study." I have
also completed the "Introduction to Public Utility Accounting" program
conducted by the American Gas Association.

### Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

My testimony will explain the methods and procedures of the depreciation reports as well as set forth the annual depreciation rates as of December 31, 2004 for Boston Edison Company ("Boston Edison"), Cambridge Electric Light Company ("Cambridge") and Commonwealth Electric Company ("Commonwealth"; together, the electric companies are referred to as "NSTAR Electric") and NSTAR Gas Company ("NSTAR Gas"; together with NSTAR Electric, the "Companies"). Each exhibit sets forth detailed methods, procedures and results of the depreciation study as of December 31, 2004. Each report will be explained in Part II of my testimony. Each exhibit was prepared and the analyses that underlie each report were conducted under my direction and supervision.

#### Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE EXHIBITS THAT YOU ARE SPONSORING.

A. Exhibits NSTAR-JJS-2 and NSTAR-JJS-3 are the depreciation studies for gas plant in service as of December 31, 2004 and electric plant in service as of December 31, 2004 respectively. Individual electric company accrual rates are shown in Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-4 (Cambridge), Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-5 (Commonwealth) and Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-6 (Boston Edison).

### Q. WHAT ARE THE PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS OF YOUR STUDY AND THE BASES FOR THEM?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Α.

The principal conclusions of the study are depreciation accrual rates by account for electric and gas plant for the Companies. Overall, my recommended annual depreciation accrual rates as of December 31, 2004 for gas plant are set forth on page III-4 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-2 and for electric plant on pages III-4 and III-5 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3. These depreciation accrual rates were supplied for use in determining its cost of service for each of the Companies, as presented in the testimony of Mr. Lubbock and Ms. Vaughan.

The continued separation of depreciation accrual rates by individual electric company for NSTAR Electric no longer made sense, since the three companies are being managed with the same policies and practices. Therefore, the methodology for determining a single depreciation rate per

account for the combined electric companies involves consolidation of three continuing property record systems.

Each of the electric company property records systems was reviewed and totaled by account for the period 1979 through 2004. The individual electric company records were then consolidated by account in order to establish one consistent life characteristic by account. The average service life and survivor curve, along with the consolidated net salvage percent, original cost and accumulated depreciation by account were used to establish a depreciation accrual rate.

### Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RESULTS OF THE NSTAR GAS COMPANY DEPRECIATION STUDY.

A. The composite rate for gas plant in service as of December 31, 2004 is 2.52%. This composite rate can be further broken down by function to produce a distribution plant composite rate of 2.39%, leased appliances composite rate of 1.89% and general plant composite rate of 3.67%.

### Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RESULTS OF THE NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY DEPRECIATION STUDY.

18 A. The composite rate for electric plant in service as of December 31, 2004 is 3.29%. This composite rate can be further broken down by function to

produce a transmission plant composite rate of 2.35%, distribution plant composite rate of 3.18% and general plant composite rate of 7.17%.

#### **II. METHODS USED IN DEPRECIATION STUDY**

#### Q. PLEASE DEFINE THE CONCEPT OF DEPRECIATION.

- A. Depreciation refers to the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance, incurred in connection with the consumption or prospective retirement of utility plant in the course of service from causes that can be reasonably anticipated or contemplated, against which the company is not protected by insurance. Among the causes to be given consideration are wear and tear, decay, action of the elements, inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, changes in demand and the requirements of public authorities.
- 13 Q. IN PREPARING THE DEPRECIATION STUDIES, DID YOU FOLLOW

  14 GENERALLY ACCEPTED PRACTICES IN THE FIELD OF

  15 DEPRECIATION AND VALUATION?
- 16 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

#### 17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CONTENTS OF YOUR REPORTS.

A. My reports are presented in three parts. Part I, Introduction, presents the scope and basis for each depreciation study. Part II, Methods Used in the Estimation of Depreciation, includes descriptions of the basis of the study, the estimation of survivor curves and net salvage and the calculation of

annual and accrued depreciation. Part III, Results of Study, presents a description of the results, summaries of the depreciation calculations, graphs and tables that relate to the service life and net salvage analyses, and the detailed depreciation calculations.

Α.

The tables on page III-4 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-2 and III-4 and III-5 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3 present the estimated survivor curve, the net salvage percent, the original cost as of December 31, 2004, the book reserve and the calculated annual depreciation accrual and rate for each account or subaccount. The section beginning on page III-5 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-2 and page III-6 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3 presents the results of the retirement rate analyses prepared as the historical bases for the service life estimates. The section beginning on page III-41 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-2 and page III-87 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3 presents the results of the salvage analysis. The section beginning on page III-52 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-2 and page III-105 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3 presents the depreciation calculations related to surviving original cost as of December 31, 2004.

#### Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE DEPRECIATION METHOD THAT YOU USED.

I used the straight line remaining life method of depreciation, with the average service life procedure. This method of depreciation aims to distribute the unrecovered cost of fixed capital assets over the estimated

remaining useful life of each unit or group of assets in a systematic and rational manner.

A.

### Q. DID YOU PERFORM A SEPARATE DEPRECIATION STUDY FOR EACH OF THE THREE ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES?

The depreciation accruals for each of the three NSTAR Electric companies were developed separately. The detailed calculation by account and vintage for each company as well as a summary schedule is attached to this testimony (Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-4, Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-5 and Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-6). The survivor curve and net salvage percents were determined at the company-wide level and applied to the individual company plant balances. This approach was included in the testimony to allow for comparability to past studies and allow the opportunity for individual revenue requirements, if desired.

### Q. HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE RECOMMENDED ANNUAL DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES?

A. I did this in two phases. In the first phase, I estimated the service life and net salvage characteristics for each depreciable group, that is, each plant account or subaccount identified as having similar characteristics. In the second phase, I calculated the composite remaining lives and annual depreciation accrual rates based on the service life and net salvage estimates determined in the first phase.

- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FIRST PHASE OF THE DEPRECIATION

  STUDY, IN WHICH YOU ESTIMATED THE SERVICE LIFE AND NET

  SALVAGE CHARACTERISTICS FOR EACH DEPRECIABLE GROUP.
- A. 4 The service life and net salvage study consisted of compiling historical data from records related to the Companies' plant; analyzing these data 5 6 to obtain historic trends of survivor and net salvage characteristics; 7 obtaining supplementary information from management, and operating 8 personnel concerning practices and plans as they relate to plant 9 operations; and interpreting the above data and the estimates used by 10 other electric and gas utilities to form judgments of average service life 11 and net salvage characteristics.

### Q. WHAT HISTORICAL DATA DID YOU ANALYZE FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTIMATING SERVICE LIFE CHARACTERISTICS?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. I analyzed the Companies' accounting entries that record plant transactions during the 26-year period 1979 through 2004 for electric plant and 24-year period 1981 through 2004 for gas plant. The transactions included additions, retirements, transfers and the related balances. The Companies' records also included surviving dollar value by year installed for each plant account as of December 31, 2004.
- 20 Q. WHAT METHOD DID YOU USE TO ANALYZE THIS SERVICE LIFE
  21 DATA?

- A. I used the retirement rate method for all accounts. This is the most appropriate method when aged retirement data are available, because this method determines the average rates of retirement actually experienced by the Company during the period covered by the study.
  - Q. WOULD YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU USED THE RETIREMENT RATE

    METHOD TO ANALYZE THE COMPANIES' SERVICE LIFE DATA?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A.

- I applied the retirement rate method to each different group of property in the study. For each property group, I used the retirement rate method to form a life table which, when plotted, shows an original survivor curve for that property group. Each original survivor curve represents the average survivor pattern experienced by the several vintage groups during the experience band studied. The survivor patterns do not necessarily describe the life characteristics of the property group; therefore, interpretation of the original survivor curves is required in order to use them as valid considerations in estimating service life. The lowa-type survivor curves were used to perform these interpretations.
- Q. WHAT IS AN "IOWA-TYPE SURVIVOR CURVE" AND HOW DID YOU

  USE SUCH CURVES TO ESTIMATE THE SERVICE LIFE

  CHARACTERISTICS FOR EACH PROPERTY GROUP?
- A. lowa-type curves are a widely used group of generalized survivor curves that contain the range of survivor characteristics usually experienced by

utilities and other industrial companies. The lowa curves were developed at the lowa State College Engineering Experiment Station through an extensive process of observing and classifying the ages at which various types of property used by utilities and other industrial companies had been retired.

lowa-type curves are used to smooth and extrapolate original survivor curves determined by the retirement rate method. The lowa curves and truncated lowa curves were used in this study to describe the forecasted rates of retirement based on the observed rates of retirement and the outlook for future retirements. As I will explain, the use of truncated curves is appropriate to reflect retirements of plant components that may not be fully depreciated at the time a plant is retired.

The estimated survivor curve designations for each depreciable property group indicate the average service life, the family within the lowa system to which the property group belongs, and the relative height of the mode. For example, the lowa 44-R1 indicates an average service life of forty-four years; a right-moded, or R-type curve (the mode occurs after average life for right-moded curves); and a low height, 1, for the mode (possible modes for R-type curves range from 1 to 5).

## Q. WHAT APPROACH DID YOU USE TO ESTIMATE THE LIVES OF SIGNIFICANT FACILITIES SUCH AS OFFICE BUILDINGS AND SERVICE CENTERS?

Α.

I used the life span technique to estimate the lives of significant facilities for which concurrent retirement of the entire facility is anticipated. In this technique, the survivor characteristics of such facilities are described by the use of interim survivor curves and estimated probable retirement dates.

The interim survivor curves describe the rate of retirement related to the replacement of elements of the facility, such as, for a building, the retirements of plumbing, heating, doors, windows, roofs, etc., that occur during the life of the facility. The probable retirement date provides the rate of final retirement for each year of installation for the facility by truncating the interim survivor curve for each installation year at its attained age at the date of probable retirement. The use of interim survivor curves truncated at the date of probable retirement provides a consistent method for estimating the lives of the several years of installation for a particular facility inasmuch as a single concurrent retirement for all years of installation will occur when it is retired.

#### Q. HAS GANNETT FLEMING USED THIS APPROACH IN OTHER PROCEEDINGS?

1 A. Yes, we have used the life span technique in performing depreciation 2 studies presented to and accepted by many public utility commissions 3 across the United States and Canada.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

A.

#### Q. WHAT ARE THE BASES FOR THE PROBABLE RETIREMENT YEARS THAT YOU HAVE ESTIMATED FOR EACH FACILITY?

The bases for the probable retirement years are life spans for each facility that are based on judgment and incorporate consideration of the age, use, size, nature of construction, management outlook and typical life spans experienced and used by other electric and gas utilities for similar structures. Most of the life spans result in probable retirement years that are many years in the future. As a result, the retirements of these facilities are not yet subject to specific management plans. Such plans would be premature. At the appropriate time, detailed studies of the economics of rehabilitation and continued use or retirement of the structure will be performed and the results incorporated in the estimation of the facility's life span.

#### DID YOU PHYSICALLY OBSERVE THE COMPANIES' PLANT AND Q. **EQUIPMENT AS PART OF YOUR DEPRECIATION STUDY?**

19 Α. Yes. I made field reviews of NSTAR Electric's property in January 2003 20 and August 2005 and NSTAR Gas' property in January 2003 and August 2005 to observe representative portions of plant. Field reviews are

conducted to become familiar with the Companies' operations and obtain an understanding of the function of the plant and information with respect to the reasons for past retirements and the expected future causes of retirements. This knowledge as well as information from other discussions with management was incorporated in the interpretation and extrapolation of the statistical analyses.

### Q. HOW DID YOUR EXPERIENCE IN DEVELOPMENT OF OTHER DEPRECIATION STUDIES AFFECT YOUR WORK IN THIS CASE?

A. Because I customarily conduct field reviews for my depreciation studies, I have had the opportunity to visit scores of similar plants and meet with operations personnel at other companies. The knowledge accumulated from those visits and meetings provide me useful information that I can draw on to confirm or challenge my numerical analyses concerning plant condition and remaining life estimates.

### Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CONCEPT OF "NET SALVAGE"?

A. Net salvage is a component of the service value of capital assets that is recovered through depreciation rates. The service value of an asset is its original cost less its net salvage. Net salvage is the salvage value received for the asset upon retirement less the cost to retire the asset.

When the cost to retire exceeds the salvage value, the result is negative net salvage.

Inasmuch as depreciation expense is the loss in service value of an asset during a defined period, e.g. one year, it must include a ratable portion of both the original cost and the net salvage. That is, the net salvage related to an asset must be incorporated in the cost of service during the same period as its original cost so that customers receiving service from the asset pay rates that include a portion of both elements of the asset's service value, the original cost and the net salvage value.

For example, the full recovery of the service value of a \$1000 electric pole will include not only the \$1000 of original cost, but also, on average, \$650 to remove the pole at the end of its life and \$50 in salvage value. In this example, the net salvage component is negative \$600 (\$50 - \$650), and the net salvage percent is negative 60% ((\$50 - \$650)/\$1000).

#### Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOU ESTIMATED NET SALVAGE PERCENTAGES.

A. I estimated the net salvage percentages for most accounts by incorporating analyses of the historical data for the period 1992 through 2004, by considering estimates for other electric and gas companies, and by exercising my expert judgment. In the historical analyses for most accounts, the net salvage, cost of removal and gross salvage amounts

1		were expressed as percents of the original cost retired. These percents
2		were calculated on annual and three-year moving average bases for the
3		1992 to 2004 period.
4	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SECOND PHASE OF THE PROCESS THAT
5		YOU USED IN THE DEPRECIATION STUDY IN WHICH YOU
6		CALCULATED COMPOSITE REMAINING LIVES AND ANNUAL
7		DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES.
8	A.	After I estimated the service life and net salvage characteristics for each
9		depreciable property group, I calculated the annual depreciation accrua
10		rates for each group based on the straight line remaining life method
11		using remaining lives weighted consistent with the average service life
12		procedure. The annual depreciation accrual rates were developed as of
13		December 31, 2004.
14	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE STRAIGHT LINE REMAINING LIFE METHOD
15		OF DEPRECIATION.
16	A.	The straight line remaining life method of depreciation allocates the
17		original cost of the property, less accumulated depreciation, less future ne
18		salvage, in equal amounts to each year of remaining service life.
19	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE PROCEDURE
20		FOR CALCULATING REMAINING LIFE ACCRUAL RATES.

The average service life procedure defines the group for which the remaining life annual accrual is determined. Under this procedure, the annual accrual rate is determined for the entire group or account based on its average remaining life and this rate is applied to the surviving balance of the group's cost. The average remaining life of the group is calculated by first dividing the future book accruals (original cost less allocated book reserve less future net salvage) by the average remaining life for each vintage. The average remaining life for each vintage is derived from the area under the survivor curve between the attained age of the vintage and the maximum age. Then, the sum of the future book accruals is divided by the sum of the annual accruals to determine the average remaining life of the entire group for use in calculating the annual depreciation accrual rate.

#### Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE AMORTIZATION ACCOUNTING.

A.

A. Amortization accounting is used for accounts with a large number of units, but small asset values. In amortization accounting, units of property are capitalized in the same manner as they are in depreciation accounting. However, depreciation accounting is difficult for these assets because periodic inventories are required to properly reflect plant in service. Consequently, retirements are recorded when a vintage is fully amortized rather than as the units are removed from service. That is, there is no

dispersion of retirement. All units are retired when the age of the vintage reaches the amortization period. Each plant account or group of assets is assigned a fixed period which represents an anticipated life during which the asset will render service. For example, in amortization accounting, assets that have a 15-year amortization period will be fully recovered after 15 years of service and taken off the Company books, but not necessarily removed from service. In contrast, assets that are taken out of service before 15 years remain on the books until the amortization period for that vintage has expired.

### Q. FOR WHICH PLANT ACCOUNTS IS AMORTIZATION ACCOUNTING IMPLEMENTED?

- A. Amortization accounting is appropriate only for certain General Plant accounts. These accounts are General Accounts 391.1, 391.2, 393.0, 394.0, 395.0, 397.0, and 398.0, which represent approximately one percent of depreciable plant for electric plant and approximately one percent of depreciable plant for gas plant.
- 17 Q. PLEASE USE AN EXAMPLE TO ILLUSTRATE THE DEVELOPMENT
  18 OF THE ANNUAL DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATE FOR A
  19 PARTICULAR GROUP OF PROPERTY IN YOUR DEPRECIATION
  20 STUDIES.

A. I will use Account 367, Underground Conductors and Devices, as an example because it is one of the largest depreciable groups and represents 23% of depreciable plant for NSTAR Electric's plant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The retirement rate method was used to analyze the survivor characteristics of this property group. Aged plant accounting data were compiled from 1979 through 2004 and analyzed for periods that best represent the overall service life of this property. The life table for the 1979-2004 experience band is presented on pages III-54 through III-56 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3. The life table displays the retirement and surviving ratios of the aged plant data exposed to retirement by age interval. For example, page III-54 shows \$4,648,899 retired during age interval 0.5-1.5 with \$833,012,924 exposed to retirement at the beginning of the interval. Consequently, the retirement ratio is 0.0056 (\$4,648,899/\$833,012,924) and the surviving ratio is 0.9944 (1-.0056). The percent surviving at age 0.5 of .9944 percent is multiplied by the survivor ratio of 99.89 to derive the percent surviving at age 1.5 of 99.33 percent. This process continues for the remaining age intervals for which plant was exposed to retirement during the period 1979-2004. The resultant life table, or original survivor curve, is plotted along with the estimated smooth survivor curve, the 44-R1 on page III-53.

The net salvage percent is presented on page III-98 of Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3. The percentage is based on the result of annual gross salvage minus the cost to remove plant assets as compared to the original cost of plant retired during the period 1992 through 2004. The 13-year period experienced negative \$5,667,657 (\$683,991 – \$6,351,648) in net salvage for \$7,656,030 plant retired. The result is negative net salvage of 74 percent (-\$5,667,657/\$7,656,030), however, the most recent five-year period and the rolling three-year averages trend toward forty-five percent. Therefore, negative 45 percent was recommended.

My calculation of the annual depreciation related to original cost of Account 367, Underground Conductor and Devices, at December 31, 2004, is presented on pages III-135 through III-137 Exhibit NSTAR-JJS-3. The calculation is based on the 44-R1 survivor curve, 45% negative net salvage, the attained age, and the allocated book reserve. The tabulation sets forth the installation year, the original cost, calculated accrued depreciation, allocated book reserve, future accruals, remaining life and annual accrual. These totals are brought forward to the table on page III-4.

#### Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.